

I believe that we are in a Renaissance. I believe that it is basically a transitional period between ages. I am using examples from the previous Renaissance to prove my point. I will also discuss Watergate, and Majority and Minority opinion. Finally, I will attempt to follow Keynes's mathematical analysis, and look 200 years into the future.

The Second Renaissance From Capitalism to Socialism

By James Munroe and A. Monro

Order the complete book from the publisher
[Booklocker.com](https://www.booklocker.com)

<https://www.booklocker.com/p/books/10723.html?s=pdf>

or from your favorite neighborhood
or online bookstore.



The Second Renaissance

From Capitalism to Socialism

James Munroe, A. Monro

Copyright © 2019 by James Munroe and A. Monro

ISBN: 978-1-64718-060-7

All rights reserved, based on the U.S. Copyright Law of 1976, and the TRIPS agreement of 1994-1995. Permission for critical analysis is granted to Vulcans.

Published by BookLocker.com, Inc., St. Petersburg, Florida.

Printed on acid-free paper.

BookLocker.com, Inc.
2019

Second Edition

A big thanks to the staff at Booklocker, especially Angela and Ali, for their help in getting this book completed.

Disclaimer

The authors have based this work on a lot of research, but are not experts in the fields of Medicine or Psychiatry, nor are certified financial experts. If any these conditions pertain to you, please see a licensed professional in that field, either of medicine, economics, or accounting. The publisher and author are not making any representations or warranties with this book or its contents, of any kind.

The publisher and author or other contributors, and representatives will not be liable for damages because of this book. This liability limitation will cover all damages, including, loss of data, loss of or damage to property, all damages of any kind, that includes compensatory, direct or indirect damages, and income or profit.

This book gives information in different fields, such as Medicine, History, Economics, and Finance. Use of this book implies acceptance of this disclaimer.

This is to be used as only part of what will be a very long-term process to actually try to find cures for many mental and physical diseases, plus other items.

If by error, we have used someone else work without permission, please get in touch, and it will be fixed.

Also, I read that there is a personality, "I must be the one who wins, and you must be the one who loses."

If anyone has this information, please forward with who, what where when and what source. Thank you.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1. The Second Renaissance	1
Chapter 2: Democracy, Jefferson, Nixon and Watergate.....	15
Chapter 3. The goals of Socialism.....	27
Chapter 4. A disease called Paranoia.....	55
Chapter 5. Doctor Frankenstein, I presume?	67
Chapter 6. Psychology and the MBTI.....	127
Chapter 7. The Future.	165
Chapter 8. Prevention.....	171
Chapter 9. Dr. Frankenstein’s International Space Colonization Association ISCA	183
Chapter 10. Le quart d’heure de Rabelais- Time to pay the bill.....	189
Chapter 11. Part 1. The Socialist Financial System	193
Chapter 12. Igor’s dream	245
The Bar is closed.	249

Chapter 2: Democracy, Jefferson, Nixon and Watergate

On November 2, 1972, Richard Nixon was elected President of the United States by one of the largest pluralities in the nation's history. On August 9, 1974, the same man resigned from his office.

In between the two dates, individuals comprising the minority opinion, using reason, logic and evidence, convinced individuals of the majority opinion to change their minds. Indeed, individuals in such number that the minority opinion became the majority opinion.

I would like to go and quote two statements made by Henry David Thoreau in his "On the duty of Civil Disobedience". The first statement is "Why does it not cherish its wise minority?" This is in reference to the government in general. The second statement is, "Witness the present Mexican war, the work of comparatively a few individuals using the standing government as their tool..." This is an obvious contradiction. "... a few individuals..." is just another phrase for minority. If the minority opinion is correct, by using reason, logic and evidence, then the minority can persuade the majority to change their minds.

This was already pointed out by John Stuart Mill in his essay "On Liberty", which is available online. I highly recommend it. Basically, what he said was that the most important thing was that people can recognize and correct their errors.

But it was also pointed out by Thomas Jefferson in a letter dated January 16, 1787, to Edward Carrington, in a reference to Shay's Rebellion, "They may be led

astray for a moment, but will soon correct themselves.”

And in a letter dated June 13, 1817, to Baron Alexander von Humboldt, he wrote, “The first principle of republicanism is, that the lex majoris partis is the fundamental law of every society of individuals of equal rights: to consider the will of the society enounced by the majority of a single vote, as sacred as if unanimous, yet the last which is thoroughly learnt. This law once disregarded, no other remains but that of force, which ends necessarily in military despotism.”

In a letter to Abigail Adams, dated February 22, 1787, Jefferson wrote, “The spirit of resistance is so valuable on certain occasions that I wish it to be always kept alive. It will often be exercised when wrong, but better so than not to be exercised at all. I like a little rebellion now and then.”

If you go online to Gallup Poll, November 2 to 4, 1972, that will show what the majority and minority believed and voted for. Then, go the Gallup Poll for August 4, 1974, when President Nixon resigned, and you will see he had lost most of his support.

AUGUST 11

NIXON, WATERGATE, AND THE AMERICAN PEOPLE; A SURVEY

In a survey completed last weekend—just prior to President Nixon's dramatic admission on Monday, August 5, that he had ordered a halt to the investigation of the Watergate break-in—the shape of public opinion was as follows: Nixon's popularity at the time of the survey stood at 26% approval, near

his all-time low and close to the lowest point recorded for any president in the last four decades. Watergate and Watergate-related matters, as well as a growing concern over inflation, had apparently erased any support Nixon had received for his international efforts, including his trips to the Middle East and Russia.

Due largely to Watergate, Nixon's popularity plummeted an unprecedented 44 points between January 1973—following the Vietnam peace settlement—to his all-time low of 24%, recorded in mid-July. Nearly three-quarters of the public, 73%, felt Nixon either planned the "bugging" or at least knew about it and participated in the cover-up. The proportion of the public who believe Nixon was involved in the planning or cover-up of Watergate has remained remarkably stable. As reported Wednesday, August 7, a substantial majority of the public, 65%, favored Nixon's impeachment, while a smaller majority, 57%, favored his removal from office. The proportion favoring both actions had increased sharply since the previous survey in mid-July when 51% favored impeachment and 46% were for removing Nixon from office.

At the time of last weekend's survey, a majority, 59%, predicted Nixon would be impeached by the House of Representatives, with almost half the public, 45%, predicting he would be removed from office by the Senate.

By a vote of 44% to 35%, the public said they would prefer Vice President Gerald Ford to Nixon as chief executive for the remaining two years of Nixon's second term.

AUGUST 15

PRESIDENT NIXON-FINAL POPULARITY
RATING

Interviewing Date: 8/2-5/74 Survey
#912-K

Do you approve or disapprove of the way
Richard Nixon is handling his job?

And his rate of approval and disapproval will
clearly show up.

On August 7, 1974, the last Gallup Poll that was taken before President Nixon resigned was published. The poll was taken from August 2 to 4th, 1974. The interviewer gave some background information, and then said, "Now let me ask you first of all if you think that there is enough evidence of possible wrongdoing in the case of President Nixon to bring him to trial before the Senate, or not?"

The results of the survey were, Yes, 66%, No, 24%, No opinion, 10%. The survey was broken down by the following groups: Sex, Race, Education, Age, Region, Income, Politics, Religion, Occupation, Community Size, and by Labor Union or Non-Union. Only in the opinion of people who were registered Republicans was there not over 50% in favor of President Nixon going to trial by the Senate. The results for registered Republicans for President Nixon to face trial before the Senate were Yes, 42%, No, 49%, and No opinion, 9%.

To show how simple this is, I asked a seven-year-old child, who was doing homework, to look at the numbers of the Gallup Poll, and see which was the

most and which was the least. The child correctly pointed out that President Nixon had the highest number in November, 1972, and that by August, 1974, he was well below the 50% area. I told her that there were two words, one majority and one minority, and they simply meant the most for majority, and less than most, for minority. Again, she said that the majority in November, 1972, had favored President Nixon, and that by August 1974, he had lost most of his support, and that was called a minority. I asked her if she knew what a Republican was, a Democrat was, a Liberal was, a Moderate was, a Conservative was, a Capitalist was, a Socialist was, a Communist was and what a Fascist was. She replied that she had heard of those things, but **she did not know what they meant**. No one can accuse the child of being prejudiced, in any way shape or form. She simply did not know. So, if a seven-year-old girl can basically understand majority and minority opinion, and if an adult can't, then there is something wrong with the adult, as far as I am concerned.

It is that simple. All you would have to do is get a copy of the Gallup Poll for the two dates, and look at the numbers. The numbers explain the whole idea. Just try it out on a child that you know who is over the age of seven, and at least of average intelligence. This should be available online. Simply go to "Gallup Poll" for the week of the November 1972 Presidential Election, and the week of August 5, 1974.

It should be fairly obvious that President Nixon had lost most of his support, even from members of his own party, the ones who had voted for him in the first place.

The Point of Democracy

The whole point of Democracy is not only how to get someone elected, but how to get rid of someone who, for whatever reason, is doing a very bad job. Before Democracy, there was basically only one way to remove a ruler from the leadership position, and that would be by overthrowing the Government. And the main means of doing this would be by violence, and usually for a long and bloody period of time. Hardly any leaders in the Historical records have voluntarily left their position. Indeed, most wanted their position for their entire life span. This is still in existence. Some rulers want to have the title of "Leader for Life". Which is fine for the ruler and his favorites, but does not do anything for anyone else. Then it was usually handed over to one of the descendants, regardless of their ability. It should also be noted that most of the rulers did not die a natural death, and many times a number of their family were also murdered in the process.

There have been instances of an infant or a child supposedly the ruler of a country, and even of madman in charge of a country, sometimes even an Empire. In our Constitution, that could not happen, because there is a minimum age to hold office, and because one of the reasons for removal from office is insanity.

Democracy was created to try and get good leaders and rulers into office. The use of impeachment was put into the Constitution to have ways and means to get bad people out of office who were not worthy of the position. The next step should be how to make sure bad leaders and rulers cannot get into power in the first place. We should not only know about their financial doings, and emotional relationships, but also

their psychological makeup, and I think that will be the most important aspect of all.

Now what we should do is to try to keep out of office people who are unsound psychologically. It is all about leadership and someone's psychological makeup, personality and character. It is not about a political party, or someone who is Conservative, Moderate or Liberal. We do not want to have everything coming from the top down. That would stop a lot of the creativity in that country.

All the education in the world is not going to make someone who has personality disorders or mental diseases capable or fit to hold positions of authority or responsibility. If someone has a broken arm, education will not fix that, only competent medical personal will be able to fix the broken arm. The same holds true for someone who has a broken brain. Education and other ideas are useless in treating such ailments. The only solution is a medical one, and not education.

I think the problems that we have now are caused by the lack of competition for elected office, and the quality of the candidates. Just because someone has been a loyal party member for a number of years does not qualify that person to be nominated and elected to an office. Just because someone is a good friend of a politician is not a qualification for a responsible position.

The two major parties spend most of their time trying to get a monopoly on political power, and virulently criticizing the other party, and their platform and candidates. And we know that Paranoids are known for being constant criticizers, and people who have Narcissistic Personality Disorder, are also known for being constant criticizers. It appears that people

like that, have not read of a proverb from Lao Tze, "The wise seek solutions, the ignorant only cast blame."

The question is how do we keep someone who is psychologically not up to the demands of the job out of office, and out of power? How can a lay person determine who is not worthy of their vote?

One thing that should be looked at is the wealth of the candidate. An internet search will quickly give a good idea of someone's wealth, regardless of what the candidate says. If the candidate criticizes wealthy people, the so called 1%, and if the candidate is actually in the 1%, what sense does it make? President Harry Truman frequently said that the only way to become rich in politics was to be a crook.

Another thing that should be looked at is the size of the home, and how many homes are owned. Please look at all of the candidates, not just the ones that you are against.

See how many good laws were actually enacted by the candidate. See how much work he or she has actually done, that have improved their area of responsibility. Not just by getting some kind of Government money. Things that worked, and improved the lot of most of the taxpayers. Look at the track record, not the fluff announcements. If it is from the candidate's party, you should probably ignore it. There are reliable sites online that you can find out a good deal about what the candidate has accomplished.

Ask yourself why someone is spending a billion dollars to get a job that pays less than half a million, then turns right around and looks you right in the eye, and talks about his economic plan. If he spent a half a million dollars, and turned that into a billion dollars, then he would have a valid point, otherwise, no.

If you do a search for “Why are Democracies less likely to go to War”, and “Do Democracies go to war with other Democracies”, there will be a few instances of Democracies going to war with one another. However, it does not happen very often, and has been declining over time, as Democracies become more mature. Usually, Democracy will keep the Paranoids, and the Anti-Social Personality Disorder types, Psychopaths and Sociopaths, out of office, but not always. If we could analyze the minds of people who want to have wars with other countries, we would almost always find some really nasty mental diseases and illnesses that lie behind their motives.

Let’s briefly look at the retirement plan for leaders other than democratically elected ones.

I thought that it would be a good idea to compare the retirement plans for democratically elected people with the retirement plans for nonelected leaders. I choose the Presidents of the United States, and the Roman emperors, the first 44 of each. There were 8 Presidents who died in office—four from natural causes and four who were assassinated. All but one of the people who killed a President were diagnosed as Paranoid. We have four out of 44 who were killed. That is one eleventh, or .09 as a decimal, or 9 percent. So that leaves a 91 percent chance of a President not being assassinated.

Only eight of 44 died in office; that is about 18 percent. Since there have been substantial advances in science and the medical profession, a President’s odds of surviving an illness will go up, just like everyone else.

Out of the first 44 Roman emperors, somewhere between 13 and 15 died of natural causes, not very good odds. Some records are unclear, and some

causes of death are unknown, unclear or disputed. One died in captivity, so I didn't know what category to put him in. Five were killed in battle, and three committed suicide, both unlikely for elected leaders, who are going to be very far away from war zones, as far as they can get. Also, it is unlikely an elected leader would commit suicide. Only very desperate people at the end of their rope do something like that. Anyway, say 14 died from natural causes. So about 31.8 percent died of natural causes, leaving 68.2 percent who did not die a natural death—more than two-thirds. And the Romans had maximum gun control. No one could have gotten a gun, because the precursor, the fire lance, was not invented until the 10th century.

It is a safe bet that 91 percent of elected leaders such as US Presidents will not be murdered, and about 68.2 percent of nonelected leaders, such as Roman Emperors, will be. Also, when an Emperor was killed, usually the family, friends and political appointees were killed along with him—high ranking military people, judges, cabinet members, treasury officials, Economic advisors, Ambassadors, Diplomats and so on.

I would respectively like to point out that this is a significant fringe benefit.

If you examine the cause of death of the other rulers of countries in the different areas of the world, the retirement plan will probably be about the same.

Then what is happening here? The main thing that is keeping democratically elected office holders alive is democracy itself. If a President dies in office, there is a well-known and logical method in place since 1792, the Presidential Succession Act, upgraded once or twice, that goes into effect. Anyone who will attempt

or succeed in assassinating a President will never get anything but a long jail term for their efforts, if not executed. There is simply nothing to be gained from trying to kill a President, with the possible exception of the Vice-President trying to become President. And nothing happens to an assassinated President's family, friends or political appointees, other than having to move and find other means of support. There have been attempts by a Vice President trying to become President, but not often.

But when an Emperor or other nonelected ruler dies, it is basically a free for all. What do you think it was like when Hitler, Stalin, Mao and other dictators died? A friendly get together? Buying drinks for each other? If someone did buy drinks for the others, like as not it was poisoned. Or, a family member would be designated as the heir, like in Cuba.

For all of the democratically elected office holders in the world, sleep well tonight; Thomas Jefferson and Democracy are protecting you and yours. I know that you will do your best to keep and maintain democracy, and stay away from establishing a hereditary form of government, to turn into a dictatorship. After all, your wellbeing depends upon it.

Finally, given the unprecedented salaries and benefits that elected representatives get, the absolute least we should be entitled to is that our representatives do not have any of the major mental diseases, such as Paranoia, Narcissistic Personality Disorder, or being a Psychopath or a Sociopath. I think an annual physical and Psychological is called for. In addition, representatives should have a good sense of sound financing. I think outside accountants should examine the books of every department. Surely the government wouldn't hide anything from us.

The Gallup Poll January 11, 2016 gives a good view of what people say are their political ideology.

Americans self-identified political ideology 1992 2015, and it should be available online.

So, if the Liberals and Conservatives actually believed in Democracy, then on the Supreme Court, there would not be this constant arguing about the prospective Justices political ideas. Based on what people actually say about their political ideology, there would be two Liberals on the Court, with the rest Moderates and Conservatives. Notice there is only one or two Moderates on the Court, even though Moderates are much larger numerically than Liberals, and very close to Conservatives. You can draw your own conclusions.

On a Myers-Briggs Type indicator basis, Sensors comprise 66-74% of the population, and iNtuitives 26-34%. There is a correlation between the number of Liberals and iNtuitives. The Conservatives and Moderates tend to be Sensors, and iNtuitives tend to be Liberals.

This is why it is so difficult to see others political ideas, because it is based on their personality, their psychology. Someone who is a Sensor, has to have things make sense, while iNtuitives are abstract.

Chapter 5. Doctor Frankenstein, I presume?

"Dr. Frank Jack Frankenstein, I presume?" Igor said.

"Igor, Lab Assistant to the University, I presume?" replied Doctor Frankenstein.

"What's up, Doc? I have the Lab all fixed up, loaded up with all of the most modern equipment that I built myself, a copy of the IBM and Cray supercomputers, plus something that could be mistaken for a Vulcan supercomputer. Also, fMRI, MRI, PET, CT, CAT, SPECT scans, and the PLUTO scan, all ready for your anticipated experiments."

"What about the authorities, administrators and villagers?"

"Bribed and befuddled."

"Perfect."

"I have taken the liberty to get in touch with various colleagues who should prove useful in the present endeavor."

"Excellent, Igor, excellent. How much of the anticipated profits did you assign to each?"

"1 to 2% to each group, which reminds me, I would like a raise."

"Of course, Igor, of course."

"And my very own anatomically correct female robot lab assistant, and can you use a supermodel as a template?"

"You drive a hard bargain, Igor."

"It gets very cold and lonely working in the Lab late at night, Doctor."

"Understood, Igor, understood. How about manipulating her into falling in love with you?" asked the Doctor.

"Well?" the Doctor asked again.

"I'm thinking, I'm thinking. How about being able to have my baby?"

"Don't push it, Igor."

"But where will the new Igor lab assistants come from, to continue the noble tradition of service to the Dr. Frankenstein Medical University?"

"You seem to have managed before now. How about a game of darts? I have a new dartboard, and I have Brodmann maps showing the different parts of the brain. If you put a dart into the parts that are associated with Psychopaths, you double the score. The anterior temporal lobe also known as area 38 is the one associated with Psychopaths. Did you know that the Amygdala and the Hippocampus are atrophied in Psychopaths? What would cause someone not to use part of their brain? For some reason, it was not useful to that individual. But the Amygdala is where the memory of emotional experiences is stored. It also has a great deal to do with fear in people. The Hippocampus is where the memory center of the brain is located. People with Alzheimer's usually have damage to the Hippocampus. Why would someone not want to be able to use their brain, Igor?"

"I have been reading Dr. Kent Kiehl and Joshua Buckholtz article, "Inside the Mind of a Psychopath". I found it very interesting. Twice, a reference is made to a learning disability. 'It's as if they have a learning disability that impairs emotional development.' The other one is from a section called fast facts, and under that, Out of Tune with Life. The first one says, 'Aided by EEGs and brain scans, scientists have discovered that psychopaths possess significant impairments that affect their ability to feel emotions, read other people's cues and learn from their mistakes.' It is unbelievable

that these people might have some kind of major learning disability. But it makes sense. Almost everyone who has studied Psychopaths in depth have realized this, but I don't think anyone has really examined the Psychopath from a learning disability perspective. At the very least, it should be looked at, if only to eliminate a learning disability as part of the diagnosis of Psychopaths." Igor replied.

"That reminds me, I will be teaching the Psych101 class at the university starting this fall, and I will need your assistance, Igor."

"Of course. What do you want me to do?"

"For one thing, I want you to make Doctor's appointments to see American Psychiatrists and act as a spy. I especially want you to see if any of them manage to use the word normal, during the session, and directing that term in the direction of the patient. The alleged Psychiatrist is allegedly helping the patient, not trying to hurt the patient. Trying to harm the patient, who is probably in a weakened state, can hardly be looked upon as helping. Get enough evidence, and we will be filing malpractice suits against these criminals. In addition, we will be revoking their medical license worldwide, and putting them behind bars, where these criminals belong. I can count on you, right Igor?"

"Of course, Doctor. Tell me about your lectures." Igor said.

"I am going to disavow my ancestors work. What kind of person wants to bring a dead person back to life? Where is the sense? There is none. I will concentrate on being a great brain surgeon, and conquering as many diseases as I can."

"I'll be by your side the entire time, Doctor."

"Not the entire time, only during lab work and some of the lectures. Frau Frankenstein will be by my side at the other times. Is there a Frau Igor in the works?"

"Not at the moment, Doctor."

"Well, you're not getting any younger."

"When is the first lecture, Doctor?"

Doctor Frankenstein's First Lecture in Human Psychology

"Good day. I am Doctor Frank Jack Frankenstein. We will start with criticism. I believe that there are two kinds of criticism, just and unjust. Unjust criticism would be from a constant criticizer. It is generally agreed that those who are constant criticizers, give strong indications of Paranoia. I believe that this would be called a constant criticizer. Now, there is just criticism, such as a mass murderer is very dangerous, and must at the very least, be put in jail. Then there is unjust criticism, such as College Professors criticizing creative writers, whose work supports the Professor's job.

"It has been brought up, what is the correct way to handle telemarketers? I have developed one method that I have had a great deal of success with. In this method, once you know it is a telemarketer and the marketer speak the name of the person he/she wants to talk to, simply reply, 'I am sorry, he has been abducted by aliens from outer space. Yes, everyone is very broken up about it. The authorities are involved in trying to find his whereabouts. If he ever escapes, or if anyone ever finds him, I will tell him to get in touch with you. OK, bye.' Usually, this will be met by stunned silence, and you will not be called again.

“Another method is to ask the telemarketer if they have found Jesus. That will usually get rid of the problem.”

Chapter 5. Part 2.

Doctor Frankenstein's views on equality, as told to the CIA, NSA, FBI, KGB, and all the other liberty loving Governments in the world listening in on the Doctor's conversations.

"Nature has never read the Declaration of Independence. It continues to make us unequal." wrote William J. Durant, author of "History of Civilization."

"Then let the Supreme Court Justices wave their magic wands, and immediately, everyone becomes equal. What would happen? The Supreme Court would cease to exist, can't have it, not equal, by the very title 'Supreme'. That means above all of the other courts. It cannot be equal, so that means it could no longer exist. The Supreme Court would be the first thing to go in the quest for equality.

"There would be no President, everyone is equal. There would be no elections, everyone would get one vote, their own, and that would be that. There would be no Olympics, no World Series, no Super Bowl, and no sporting events at all, because all of the sporting events would end in a tie. There would be no Nobel Prizes, no Pulitzer Prizes, no Academy Awards, no awards of any kind, because everyone is equal.

"All cars, planes, trolleys, roller skates, skateboards, hover boards, walkers, canes, wheelchairs, ambulances, emergency vehicles would all be the same. There would be only one speed, average, and that is the speed that everyone would travel, including Police, Fire and emergency vehicles.

"Mark Twain once said, 'We are all alike, on the inside.' Well, no we are not. On the inside, there are

still differences between the sexes and sizes, and ages. There are vast differences in the brain, up to and including mental disease and illness. We are not all alike on the inside.

“Would we really want to be equal to all the jerks that we meet in our everyday lives? The nose picking, wind breaking, burping, coughing, sneezing, grumpy, dopey, sleepy people that we all have met, many, many times? The person who cut you off, got in front of you in line, screwed their order up, resulting in everyone else’s orders getting screwed up. The ones who would not go over the speed limit and stayed in the passing lane the entire trip. This allowed all of the people who were trying to get home from work to be backed up the length of the highway. The only people who want to be equal with the jerks in the world are the *other* jerks in the world. Is that what you would want?

St. Thomas Aquinas said, ‘By nature, all men are equal in Liberty, but not in other endowments.’ But we are not equal in Liberty, we are not 100% equal, not yet at any rate. I don’t even know if it would be possible. How could an infant or a child be given the same amount of Liberty as an adult? Most people don’t think that children have reached the age of reason, until 10 years of age or so. Slavery still exists for millions of people, in different areas of the world. If you include Dictatorships, the number would be greatly higher. None of those people are equal, or have hardly any freedom, and barely any rights.

Oliver Wendell Holmes, Jr., A Supreme Court Justice from 1902 to 1932, said, ‘I have no respect for the passion of equality, which seem to me merely idealizing envy- I don’t disparage envy, but I don’t accept it as legitimately my master.’

"For anyone at a College or University to talk about equality, when the one thing that the Academics always mention, is their college or University being better than others. There is always talk about the privileges and benefits that one will get simply by going to and graduating from this place. Where is the equality in that? It is baloney.

"One thing that I will never agree with, is when Government Employees are given more rights, benefits, and pay then the taxpayers who pay for this largesse. The Government even pays for the legal bills that the Government employees rack up, due to their doubtful interpretation of their assigned duties. Unless the Government, Federal, State or local, also pays the legal bills for all of the taxpayer/citizens, this should not be. There is no way on the face of the Earth, or in the Universe, for that matter, that having Government employees getting better pay and benefits than the taxpayers who foot the bill, can be considered equality.

"Thomas Jefferson wrote, '.... that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty, and the pursuit of Happiness....' Which is what most of us get arrested for. 'My client was merely pursuing his right to Happiness, Your Honor.' 'That's right, Your Honor, doing 55 in a 25-mph zone.'" There is no mention in being equal in wealth, looks, height, weight, ability, or anything else. It is life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. But happiness may or may not happen, just the pursuit of it, there is no guarantee of actually obtaining happiness. And what happens if someone's idea of happiness is committing as many crimes as possible?

“Let’s see what we can come up with. There is no way that all can have the same degree of ability. I was told regarding the game of pool, 90% of people cannot run a rack of balls, even when given the ball in hand. Meaning that it is impossible to sink 15 balls with being able to place the cue ball anywhere you want it. I was also told that 10% of the hunters get 80% of the game. The same holds for fishermen. The ability amongst people has vast differences. That has to be obvious. However, what I think that we should go for is equality in Liberty as much as possible. Fools and madmen are not going to do well, nor make positive contributions to anyone, other than temporally to themselves. Those of us who have adverse intellectual ability, along with physical or emotional disability, are not going to be equal. Their equality lies in the eyes of God, and possibly in the eye of the Law, but not much more than that.

“However, there will come a time when we are all equal, and that is when we are dead. For when someone is dead, then they are truly equal to all of the other dead people in the world, thus achieving true equality. Be careful of what you wish for; you just might get it.”

Chapter 5. Part 3. Doc Frankenstein expounds on Psychopaths career choices, and Igor, Ygor, and the Doctor's Clubs.

“Well, I would start with “The Big Three”, the Paranoids, Narcissistic Personality Disorder, and Anti-Social Personality Disorders. From what is known at this time, Psychopaths have atrophy of the amygdala and hippocampus. There have been very little medical scans done on Paranoids and Narcissistic Personality Disorders that I have found. There is this amazing lack of sound scientific data that can be analyzed and hopefully, put to good use. Unless this is done, and ASAP, the amount of damage done by these diseases will only continue, and could get worse.

“That is why I and my colleagues, Igor and Ygor, have formed a few social clubs. For example, there is Igor's ‘Let's get all the Paranoids cured’ club. A very fine organization that is worthy of your support. Once a month, there is a meeting, and different ways and means are discussed on how to get Paranoids cured. It is a very creative group, and come up with some really good ideas.

“Then there is the ‘Ygor Anti-Social Personality club’. Ygor's group focuses on Psychopaths and Sociopaths. Since there are two diseases, the meetings are held twice a month. One item that has been found is that Psychopaths are attracted to certain jobs. The one job Psychopaths like the most is CEO. Now does anyone see anything wrong with that? Can you imagine how much damage a Psychopath can do, not just to a company, but to the employees, the local community, and the Economy in general by their likely criminal acts? I don't think Harvard Business School or

any other business school, have classes teaching Psychopaths how to run companies. Perhaps that should be part of the curriculum. "Psychopath Management 101" would be a good start. How to have three sets of books, one for the IRS, one for the shareholders, and one for the CEO. How to justify selling off the different divisions of the company, and firing thousands of people, to increase the value of the company stock, and thus getting a larger bonus at the end of the year. That cannot possibly be considered creating something. Anyone can go and sell off divisions of a company, or firing hundreds or thousands of people. There is nothing made, other than an increase in the bonuses. That should be illegal. A teenage High School dropout could do that.

"Hey, kid, you want to be CEO of the Criminal Enterprise Company?"

"Sure, Mister. What do I have to do?"

"Are you of legal age?"

"I am 16, and in High School."

"You just quit. You start today. You get your own office, a secretary, and a limo."

"Can I take my girlfriend out in the limo?"

"Sure, kid, and there is a bar and condoms in the limo."

"Wow. So, what do I do?"

"Just sign everything that I put in front of you."

"How long will I be the CEO?"

"With the crew I got working on this, not long."

"Setting up numbered offshore bank accounts", would be another good class. "Countries with no extradition to other countries", another class that would be required for graduation. If it is the Colleges that enable these Psychopaths to become qualified as

CEO's, then the Colleges must share in at least 50% of the responsibility for the damage done. The next favorite job of Psychopaths is Lawyer. Does anyone see anything that could possibly go wrong there? How about if a Psychopath CEO gets some other Psychopaths for the companies' Lawyers. How much fun would that be? Do the Law schools that grant the degrees do anything to vet their prospective students and graduates? Of course not. Third in line are media, TV and Radio. After that, Salesperson, then ta da, Surgeon. Then Journalist, Police Officer, Clergy, Chef and Civil Servant. All of these professions have Codes of Ethics, or should have. Do you think there is any enforcement of such things? As far as I am concerned, both the Educational system and the professional organizations, are not doing a good job of keeping bad people out of occupations that have to be very responsible. Also, the licensing boards are not doing a good job of keeping tabs on the licensees, and should be held for accountability.

So, let's do the top ten jobs that Psychopaths are least likely to go into, and that is Care Aid, Nurse, Therapist, Craftsperson, Hair Stylist, Charity Worker, Teacher, Creative Artist, Doctor, and Accountant. Some Psychopaths do go into these job categories, but not many. These occupations do not give the Psychopaths the power over people that they crave.

Finally, there is another club named after me, Doctor Frankenstein that concentrates on Narcissistic Personality Disorder. Modesty forbids me to toot my own horn, but we have a lot of fun, and a really fine bunch of women and men. We also compare notes with Igor and Ygor's clubs.

Chapter 5. Part 4.

Doctor Frank Jack Frankenstein's Letter to Santa regarding Paranoids

Dr. Frankenstein has a deep and abiding, well deserved hatred for Paranoids, Psychopaths, Sociopaths, and Narcissistic Personality Types. These people are totally useless, and can and will only do damage to everyone and everything around them. Anytime a crime is committed, it is a very safe bet that the responsible person, who of course will deny responsibility, has one of these diseases and disorders. Not only will responsibility be denied, someone else will be blamed. Almost all social discord, crime, dictatorships, and all the other ills of humans, including slavery, has at its roots these diseases.

Psychopaths and Sociopaths are classified in the Antisocial Personality Disorder part of the personality disorders, by the field of Psychology for many reasons, all brought on by themselves. These two types view others simply to be used and abused, to the utmost, then discarded when no longer useful, or a more lucrative victim shows up.

But Paranoids are the Doctor's pet peeve. Suppose, for the sake of argument, a Paranoid's line of reasoning goes something like this: "I am out to get everyone." Through the projection of the Paranoid, that becomes "Everyone is out to get me". I cannot prove this, but it should make sense.

One of the cornerstones of Paranoia is Projection. That simply means that what the Paranoid dislikes in their self, the Paranoid will believe that this is what all the other people are like. If the Paranoid is a liar, the

other person will be accused of being a liar. Paranoids are notorious liars.

Another part is constant criticism of everyone else. Paranoids cannot take criticism of themselves. Paranoids can dish it out, but not take it. That is one of the main signs of Paranoia. Any organization or person that cannot accept criticism of itself should be examined with the possibility of Paranoia being present.

Some examples that we see every day are some Journalists, who Spiro Agnew called "... nattering nabobs of negativism." These are the people President Reagan was talking about when he said, "They haven't accused me of eating my children. Yet." Please remember that on the top ten jobs that Psychopaths like, Radio/TV reporter is number three, while media reporter is number five. Another example are political figures who constantly criticize the other candidate and party, rather than telling the public what their solutions to problems would be, and what they would do to fix things. For too many politicians, it is mission impossible to have good practical solutions to problems. The lack of creativity is amazing.

I am going to do something that I haven't done in a very long time, and I don't believe that anyone has tried this before. I am going to write and ask Santa Claus for a present dealing with mental diseases.

Dear Santa:

As you are aware, I, Doctor Frankenstein, along with my colleagues Igor and Ygor have been studying Paranoids, and the other Anti-Social Personality Disorders for over thirty years. In that time, very little has been accomplished, very little headway has been made, on a practical level. No one has been able to find a cause of these diseases, let alone a cure. There

has been an astonishingly small amount of advancement of knowledge in this field, regarding these major diseases. Neither the scientists, researchers, Doctors, Psychiatrists, Psychologists, Sociologists, nor any else has found a cure for these abominations that have plagued humans from time immemorial. I am at my wit's end, there is no progress dealing with these diseases.

Therefore, Santa, I am asking for a major Christmas gift from you, not just for myself, but for all of us. In short, I am asking for cures for all of these diseases. For whatever reason, the Scientific and Medical community are unable to come up with practical means and methods of preventing, finding causes of, and curing these diseases. Some new diagnostic machines have been invented, and further modified, resulting in a small increase in knowledge of the brain. However, there is still such a gap in our knowledge, that no one has been able to put their finger on what is going wrong in the brains of these people.

In John Dean's book, "Blind Ambition", while Watergate was blowing up in his and others faces, he tells of how he brushed back the feeling of Paranoia, or words to that effect. To me, that gives some indication that the source of his Paranoia was in the back of his brain, and that he could, in some manner, brush it back.

I cannot vouch for his honesty in those words, but it might be worth looking into. If a new or better diagnostic technique can be developed, that will prove beyond a shadow of a doubt, what is going wrong in these people's brains that would be splendid. Then what would be needed is the knowledge to actually

treat the malfunction, and bring the brain back within the parameters of accepted Medical conditions.

Sincerely,

Doctor Frankenstein, Rektor, University of Frankenstein Medical School

Dear Santa:

It is I, Igor, Lab Assistant to Doctor Frankenstein. I got wind of what the Doctor was up to, and I decided to also ask for a present. What I would like is a medical diagnostic test for anyone who wants to run for public office. The test would be able to diagnose Paranoia, Narcissistic Personality Disorder, and the Anti-Social Personality Disorders. The results, if positive, would be made available to the public.

Thank you for your help with this.

Yours,

Igor, Lab Assistant to Doctor Frankenstein

P.S. If you need any endurance enhancement drugs for either you, the Elves, or the Reindeer, please let me know. I am highly skilled at making very good drugs, and I can get you a good price. Don't let the Doctor know, this is between you and I. Igor.

Chapter 5. Part 5.

Igor and Ygor psychoanalyze Sigmund Freud

"Tell me, Igor, what is your honest opinion of Sigmund Freud?" Ygor asked.

"Sigmund Freud's work left a lot to be desired. For one thing, the translation from German to English was not done well. In English the word is Id. In German, the word is das Es, the it. In German, das ich, the I, becomes ego in English. The superego in English, is das Uber-Ich, the over I, in German. I have no idea how it was translated into other languages, whether the translation was any good or not.

"The id, ego and super ego, are originally, das Es, Das ich, and das Uber-Ich, the it, the I, and the over I. Another thing that bothers me is his use of cocaine, and not allowing disagreement from his theories. When you are coming up with new theories, especially on the human mind and all of the variables, you are almost bound to make mistakes. Especially if you are not using machines to get accurate information on the patient. Would you let a Dentist decide to drill, fill or pull a tooth without taking x-rays? Or have a heart transplant just on someone's say so? To say that there is only one Scientific, Medical, or Psychological way to look at human beings, simply does not make sense. What about you Ygor?"

"His hypocrisy towards America was unreal. He wanted the materialism that was provided to him by America, but did not like the people who he got it from. That became even worse after Germany lost World War 1. Many of his immediate family fought on the side of Germany. In addition, the fact that he did not develop tests to actually prove his theories was

not good. On something as important as mental health, it cannot be left to someone's interpretation, without facts and science to back it up. If the field of Psychology is to be taken seriously as a Science, and especially a Medical Science, then it has to have facts, figures and evidence to arrive at conclusions. There is also the little matter of not being able to do anything about the Nazi rise to power in his own backyard. You would think that he might have done something to prevent that. An analysis of the Nazi's psychologically, if not to effect a cure, at the very least to give a loud warning."

Chapter 5. Part 6

Doctor Frankenstein's Jazz Trio

"Now, Ladies and Gentlemen, the Doc Frankenstein Jazz Trio. You're on."

The trio is Doc Frankenstein on the Piano, Igor on Bass, and Ygor on drums, playing all their hits and a few new numbers also. The Doctor will tell his theory about making a hit.

"A while ago, there was a group, and their manager got recording time, but the manager didn't tell the members of the band until the last minute that the group would be doing a live recording of a song. To make things, worse, the previous day the group had played an hour and a half set of the song they would be recording. The singer was not at his best. On top of that, he had just had his braces realigned. "He decided that he wasn't going to sing, it would just be an instrumental. At the last minute, he did decide to sing, but the microphone was set up way above the band, so he had to sing up into the microphone, plus have his voice go over the music. The singer miscued, and the drummer dropped a stick, and cursed. This was done in one take, plus the singer changed the arrangement from previous versions. It was so bad, the band didn't want it to be released, but the manager released it anyway. A DJ on the East Coast, heard it, and said it was the worst record he had heard all weak. Half the words no one could understand, and that is when it started to become a legend. The band members never said what the words to the song actually were.

"Since no one could understand the words, teenage guys in their own band would make up their

own words. Rumors sprang up that the song was dirty. Well, all the teenagers wanted to buy the song with the dirty words to listen to and sing the song. The bands started playing it, and made up whatever words came to their mind. Radio stations started to refuse to play it, the Governor of Indiana wanted it banned, and then someone wrote a letter complaining about the dirty words in the song to the FBI. The FBI investigated it for about two years, playing it at 33 1/3, 45, 78, backwards, and could not understand half of it. It became a major hit, Number 2 for six weeks, in the top 10 for 16 weeks, and is considered to have been a major influence in Rock and Roll. That was called "Louie Louie", by The Kingsmen.

In 1961, The Fabulous Wailers had a singer named Rockin' Robin Roberts, who went to high school in Tacoma, Washington, and became interested in rock and roll. He liked the song and added it to the Wailers set. He made it completely Rock and Roll, and added the line, "Let's give it to 'em, right now", the signal for the riff to begin. He was supposed to be an amazing on-stage performer. In addition, he had a master's degree in biochemistry, was an associate professor, and was in the Marine Corps reserves. He only played a little after 1962. He was killed in a car accident in 1967, when he was 27. He was a passenger in the car, going the wrong way on the highway.

The Kingsmen or their manager, did something very intelligent. Even though it was not a good recording, it was decided to keep it that way anyway, because that was what the fans wanted. Even though it was not what the band had originally tried to do, it was decided to go with the flow.

So that became one of the most recorded songs in the world, and had a major influence on rock and roll.

The entire controversy could have been prevented simply by listening to earlier recordings by Rockin Robin or Rick Berry. But why spoil all the fun?

One thing that the Doctor is very much against, are musicians who make poor decisions. There was an incident involving Terry Kath of Chicago, who accidentally shot himself, thinking the gun was unloaded. Guns are always loaded, until it is proven beyond a doubt, that it is empty. Even then, under no circumstances, would one point a gun at their head. Point it at your sex organ, and pull the trigger. That way, if you are wrong, at least you won't be reproducing, so no harm done.

At the end of the film, "The Seventh Seal", by Ingmar Bergman, the final scene, the Dance of Death, was going to be filmed. However, because of concern over a storm that was heading toward the filming site, most of the crew had already left the set. And then a cloud appeared that would be perfect for the ending. Since he did not have enough of the actors left, he took some of the stagehands, an electrician, the few actors he had, and a couple on vacation, and they donned the costumes and the film was finished. It is considered to be one of the best films made.

In the painting, "Las Meninas", by the great Spanish painter, Diego Velazquez, the painting was altered by the Artist, he didn't like part of it, and so he changed the part that he didn't like. This happens all the time in the creative process. In addition, the painting was also partially damaged by a fire. But it was restored, and it is still considered a great work.

The point being, no matter how well something is done, or how badly, it will never be perfect, at least not at this time in human development. It will be off a

little bit one way or another, but is it really that important?

Chapter 5. Part 7

Doctor Frankenstein's views on free Education according to Igor and Ygor

"Dr. Frankenstein, what do you think of a free College education for all?"

"Well, that would be very interesting. I guess it would work if the administration, faculty and staff would work for nothing, and have no benefits. Plus, all of the supplies would have to be donated. Perhaps the place could sell extra body parts from the student body to pay for everything. You know, kidneys, blood, eggs, sperm, liver, lung, bone marrow, blood cells, and the usual. This could be a real money maker. 'I have, for sale, in excellent condition, some universal blood cells, donated by a sweet, innocent, freshman drama student, for just one hundred dollars for 450 ml.'

"From many years of observing people, giving something away for free tends to bring out the worst in people. Something for nothing is usually not appreciated, and since it is free, it is not valued. It is considered not to have much value. After all, why is it free? Since it is free, obviously, it is not to be considered to be important or to have much value or worth. It is only when we actually pay for something, earning it, that we tend to place a true and actual value on it.

"Also, there are a significant number of people who do not particularly care for college education, free or otherwise, based on the Myers Briggs Type Indicator temperaments.

"Based on MBTI, the SP's, Sensing Perceivers, do not like academia. The Sensing Perceivers are

somewhere around 38% of the population. The SP's want to learn trade skills, job skills, and not theory. So, the SP's are not the types who would want an academic degree. This type is so practical in nature that the use of theory is beyond the pale. There is very little use for theory in the general workplace areas. These are the ones who are the electricians, plumbers, carpenters, mechanics, craftsmen, Engineers, and so on, the can-do people who keep the country in pretty good working order. A lot of study and book learning would not work with this temperament. To not value people who keep the nuts and bolts of everyday materials in good working order, does not make sense. If you disagree, the next time you are going 65 miles per hour, and you have to make an emergency stop, ask yourself who the most important person is at that moment? A Doctor, a Lawyer, or the Auto Mechanic who maintains your brakes?

"Psychologically, people are mostly Sensors, who like facts, and are very realistic, as compared to iNtuitives, who prefer to use abstraction and concepts, to gather information. 66-74% of the population are Sensors, and only 26-34% are iNtuitives. Quoting from 'Type Talk', page 181, 'Accordingly, our data show that grade school teachers are about two-thirds Sensing, high school teachers about evenly split between Sensing and iNtuitive, and college faculties about 70 percent iNtuitive (some graduate school faculties are as high as 77 percent iNtuitive). This is important when you recall that the U.S. population as a whole is between 66-74 percent Sensing.' Of course, the percentage will also hold true for the entire world's population. In addition, the academic tests are almost always designed by iNtuitives, so guess who scores

the highest? Don't say Sensors. The tests are rigged, psychologically, as far as I am concerned. The tests are designed by and for iNtuitives.

"For colleges to talk about diversity, when 70 percent of the Faculty are iNtuitives and are psychologically the same, is non-sense. To be representative psychologically, of the population, the faculty should be about the same percentage as Sensors, at least 66%, and no more than 34 percent iNtuitives, almost half of what it is at the present time. That is what makes sense psychologically. This indicates superficiality, one who only looks on the surface. That is why there will be a cry for different races, sex and religions in academia, but not of the psychological makeup of academia. It will be diverse superficially, but not in reality, not psychologically.

"The people who most want College Education, are the iNtuitives. The letter "N" will be used as an abbreviation for the word intuitive. The N's want everyone to go to College, because that is what the N's want. Whether it makes sense or not, the N's want College for all. Especially the ones on the faculty. The faculty, of course benefit immensely from the increase in paying customers. Actually, what many employers really want from potential employees, is practical experience in the field. Those who have experience, are much more likely to be hired rather than someone with just a degree. All fields should have apprenticeship programs attached to the educational or training area. Some people who start out in a field, when they see what it is really like, do not like the field, and decide to go into another line of work.

"Also, it has been brought to my attention that higher education does not accept the credits a student earns from another state, and sometimes within the

state. That should be illegal. It is discriminatory. Just because someone went to a different state for their education, does not give a college the right to not give credit for the work already done. It makes no sense. If you are going to do that, then any Professor or academic or Administrator who got their degree from another college, should not be allowed to teach or work at a different college or University. They should have to go back to school and start all over again, just like the students.

"I have heard of a program that encourages learning to read as early as possible. In it, a child with a parent or guardian, will start learning about books at a very early age, while still a toddler. The goal will be for the parent or guardian to read to the child while the child looks at the words and pictures. As the child's ability increases, then the child will be doing more of the reading. Actually, I would like to see that expanded to elementary, and Junior and Senior high school. If it stops after kindergarten, the child might not keep up with reading. I would continue the basic premise, as positive enforcement. If someone has a learning disability, it should show up, and be detected early. If it is detected early, I think that there would be a better chance of overcoming the learning disability. Plus, it might develop into a lifelong interest in reading, which can only increase a person's ability. Hopefully, that is what will happen.

"Judge David Admire of Redmond, Washington, noticed unruly inappropriate behavior in his courtroom. It was similar to the behavior which his own children exhibited. His children had been diagnosed with learning disabilities and ADD. Learning disabilities are probably not new, but since so few went to anything past grammar school, if that,

Learning Disabilities would not show up. In his address at Bethany College, he stated that the criminal justice system is a failure, then gives a number of statistics to back up his assertion. He also gives brief information on ADD and seven learning disorders. It appears that people with ADD are prone to alcohol and substance abuse, 4 out of 10 with heroin and opiates, 1 out of 3 with alcohol. He then states somewhere between 5-15% of the population are estimated to have learning disabilities, the Department of Education said for the school year of 1993-94, the figure was 12.2%, who had a Learning disability, and have a school dropout rate of 27%. In prison, the estimate varies very widely, but somewhere between 20-60% have a learning disability. There seems to be a large overlap, with 30% of those with a learning disability are also diagnosed as ADD, plus a number of other disorders are seen in ADD. His paper is only 17 pages long, with 2 pages of foot notes. It is very well documented and thought out.

"I read somewhere he had a young defendant in his court with his mother, and the Judge asked something like, does he have a learning disability? The mother burst into tears right in the middle of the court, and said, "You are the only one who has ever asked that question", or words to that effect. Do not overlook the most common reasons for someone going wrong. One of the first things the court should check for is learning disabilities. If that can be ruled out, then see what else is going wrong. I doubt very much if the Judges, and the courts, the legal system, and everyone in law enforcement want to see the same people day in and day out. Sooner or later, you get tired of it, and burn out. Unless we find pragmatic, practical ways to fix learning disabilities, then the self-

destructive behavior of the people with the Learning Disabilities, will continue. And will hurt all of the ones who come in contact with someone who has a Learning Disability.

"If Johnny and Susie are in class, and the book is in Vulcan, and the teacher is talking in Klingon, how much do you think that the students will learn? If a student simply does not understand what is going on, the school is failing the student, the parents, the taxpayers, and the entire country. The Delta's of Faber College might do a better job of education.

"Given this information, it is not a good idea to advocate for free college education for all. If it is a reduced cost of college for those who are qualified, then that would make sense.

"I don't believe in traditional education beyond Grammar School, but I do believe in at least 210 days of schooling per year, up to the eighth grade. Of course, at the present time, there is need for Special Education for those of us who are physically or mentally in need of it. Eventually, if Science and Medicine can come up with methods to prevent the physical and mental birth defects, then that would not be needed. That has to be one of the most important goals of Science and Medicine, the elimination of all birth defects.

"Teaching High School has been referred to as 'trying to herd cats', an exercise in futility except for the ones who actually want to learn something. Those can learn on their own, the physical school is not important. Practical training is extremely important. Many people learn by doing, not by theory. On far too many instances, the schools are being used not for the purpose of educating people, but for other agendas. The schools should be teaching not just academics,

but life skills, driving a car, balancing a checkbook, intelligent money management and investing. Computer and other technical knowledge. What is going to replace bricks and mortar schools is the internet. It has an amazing amount of information on it. Of course, you have to be careful, and not waste time on games, but there are many good videos on the Internet that can teach anyone how to do an amazing number of things.

"I would not have any colleges or Universities, I would only have research institutes, which is basically what these places are nowadays. The 1% of the Doctoral and Post-Doctoral students who do research are subsidized by the 99% of the Undergraduate students who pay tuition, the bills, and who simply need the diploma to get a job. I think that the Colleges and Universities are doing everything they can to get a monopoly on degree granting, and are not serving the students, the public, or the taxpayers.

"If you search "R1" on the internet, you will see a list of the colleges and Universities in the US who are doing the most Research. This is from the Carnegie Classification of institutions of Higher Education. So, does it make sense for an undergraduate with no intention to do research to go to a place like this? You're call.

"I think that the cost of a college education should be what the average student makes working full time in the summer, and part time the rest of the year. That is what it should cost, but everyone wants to rob and steal whatever they can, including colleges.

"The President of Wattsammater U was asked why he did not have the President of the United States give the commencement address, as the students had asked for. He replied,' A rock star offered me one

million dollars to give the commencement address, so I took it. I'm trying to run a business here.'

"So now the Department of Education wants to eliminate the non-profit that accredits many for profit higher education colleges. It looks like the Department of Education is trying to eliminate the competition, and just have Government owned Education. Any economist will tell you that a monopoly is the worst thing in the world, and that especially holds true for a Government monopoly. Also, you should know that many Government employees got their degrees from diploma mills. Some were working at the White House and State Department. Remember, these are the ones who want to be in charge. All Government employees should be checked to see if they actually got their degree from a legitimate school. If someone lies about their education credentials, what kind of a job do you think they would do?

"If you want to join a country club, the cost is about \$6,500 a year to get in, plus and added \$4,000 or so for many amenities. A significant savings over a four-year college, and can be used the entire year. All that would be needed is a degree granting ability for the country club, and that could probably be easily arranged. Club College, anyone?"

Chapter 5. Part 8

Dr. Ivan Pavlov and Sigmund Freud discuss toilet training for dogs and other animals.

"Igor, tell the class about the time you were working with Sigmund Freud and Ivan Pavlov with their 'toilet training for dogs' program."

"Well, Pavlov had read some of Sigmund Freud's work regarding toilet training with infants, and he wanted to know if it would help him with the dogs. So, the two compared notes, and used Freud's theories with Pavlov's, and soon, they had the dogs going very neatly into the box, just like a cat. However, the experiment ended badly, because Freud wanted top billing, and accused Pavlov of not following his theory of psychoanalysis.

"Think what a great scientific breakthrough this could have been. Imagine, if this was done to all the animals. No more bird droppings, no more cow and other manure all over the place, everything would be in a neat pile, ready to be used as fertilizer. It would have been so wonderful. Alas, just another scientific experiment that went wrong.

"There was a silver lining or two. The idea of a stimulus response was used by many communications companies for devices such as landline phones and cell phones to let the users know that someone was trying to get in touch and bother them.

"In addition, because of the dog's excellent sense of smell, it has been demonstrated that dogs can detect the beginning of a diabetes attack, and also schizophrenia. It is the change in chemical scent that the dogs can detect, even before the human knows of the diabetes or schizophrenia beginning. Dr.

Frankenstein and I want to see if we can train dogs to detect Psychopaths, Sociopaths, Paranoids, and Narcissistic Personality Disorder, or, as the Psych Squad calls it, "The Sign of Four." If this can be done, it will enable dogs to help not just law enforcement, but also, more importantly, citizens, so that everyone will be able to recognize a mal-adjusted person as fast as possible. It will be so much fun when a dog can detect Paranoids just by their scent. We were thinking of training a dog to point whenever a Paranoid scent is detected. For Psychopaths, the dog would want to shake hands. For Sociopaths, Fido will be trained to do their business on their pants. And for Narcissistic Personality Disorder, Prince would hump their leg. The identification will be immediate, and accurate. We can start with College Professors and Psychiatrists. Then move on to Economists, CEO's, Lawyers, TV and Radio Media, Journalists, Clergy, the usual suspects.

"I read in Wikipedia that Ted Bundy was asked why he hadn't killed his longtime girlfriend, and he said every time he started to feel the urge to kill, he would stay away from her until he had satisfied his blood lust, and then he would get back in touch with her. He was feeling okay. So, that indicates to me, that he felt some kind of chemical difference in his body that he knew would cause him to kill again. If dogs can be trained to recognize the scent of a chemical change in a serial murderer, and others with these mental diseases, indicating the trigger for a murderous episode has been pulled, then everyone would be fore warned.

This should be examined. Even if Frankenstein and I are wrong, then at least the chemical change theory can be ruled out as a sign for serial murderers. "

At the present time we do not have the ability to detect a chemical change based on scent in the human brain.

Chapter 5. Part 9

Why Igor murders Psychiatrists

One day, following the directive of Doctor Frankenstein, Igor went undercover to do stings on Psychiatrists. He told Doctor Frankenstein the following. "Well, Doc, as you know, most people are just the 'worried well', who have one of the small problems that have always been with us. The injury or death of a loved one, a reversal of fortune, a loss of some kind or another, a personal injury, caused by accident or disease, or an act of violence. Basically, the same problems that people have always had. There are a number who have abused legal and illegal substances, and it seems that for many, this is being done to treat an underlying brain abnormality. Some of these people are trying to self-medicate, and are playing Doctor. But it is being done without a Doctor's knowledge of what type of drug or what dosage would actually help self-medication. Indeed, an overdose is unfortunately, common.

Anyway, some of us are concerned that some members of the Psychiatric and Psychology squad might be using their position to manipulate, injure, and actually harm their patients. I saw this one Psychiatrist, and he had already glanced at the medical report regarding me, and realized there was nothing basically wrong with me, and most important, also realized that I would not be a danger to him.

"I happen to know, through faithful attendance to the Doctor's lectures, that 40% of mental health workers will be assaulted by their patients, and this most often occurs on the initial visit. The Psychiatrists and others in the mental health field, will want to be

very careful on the first visit, unless he or she is very certain that they are not in any danger. If there is even the remotest chance of an attack, the Psychiatrist will, at the very least, make sure that he was closest to the door, the means of escape, and that there would be a desk between the Psychiatrist and the patient, to help the Psychiatrist escape without being harmed.

“What happened was he asked me a few questions, and finally said, ‘Normal people do not have....’ whatever I had complained about. Notice, he very cleverly did not say that I was not normal, he just said that normal people do not have what I had. But the inference of not being normal was there. I didn’t believe him, because he had not given me any tests, Thematic Apperception Test, Rorschach test, no blood work, x-rays, MRI’s, fMRI’s, CT scans, PET scans, SPECT scans, nothing, just came right out of the blue and said it, simply his word, not based on one bit of medical fact. Further, if he thought that I was not normal he would not say that, because he would realize that it might set me off, and that he might be assaulted. No legitimate member of the medical profession is ever going to tell someone that they are not normal. If anything, a diagnosis would be written, detailing the exact nature of the patient’s complaint or disease, and what method of treatment would be best for the patient. There would also be consultations with other colleagues. It was a terrible display of unbelievable malice directed toward a vulnerable human being.

“How many have gone to a Doctor, because of a broken leg, or to a Dentist, for a toothache, or an Optician, to get glasses, and did any of those people say the patient was not normal because of the broken

leg, toothache, or the need for glasses? If any Physician or any other medical professional had said that, the person would likely have been brought up on mal practice charges, along with the facility that they were associated with.

"Finally, how many times have you heard or read about a Psychiatrist testifying for the defense for someone who had committed an unbelievably barbaric crime of a totally inhuman nature, and the Psychiatrist would be defending the nut job, and doing his best to help the criminal beat the rap? I didn't let it have any effect on me, I just ignored the insult and stayed cool. The Psychiatrist jumps up, and looked apprehensive and confused. How come the Psychiatrist jumped up? What upset the Psychiatrist?

"This is what I think. I think that the Psychiatrist had used that line before, and that it had always worked before. He was obsessive in trying to make his patients feel that in some way, the patient was not normal. He had lied to his patients, had violated his Oath, 'First, do no harm.' He had always gotten away with it before, and this time it did not work. He didn't know what to do. He only had the one trick up his sleeve. I called his bluff, and that's all it was, and he folded. In true Psychopath, Sociopath, Narcissistic or Paranoid fashion, he wanted to hurt the patient. I also think that it is very likely that the Psychiatrist was projecting on me what is *actually inside* of the Psychiatrist, which is classic Paranoia. In other words, it was the Psychiatrist, the one who said the patient was not normal, who was in reality, the *one* who was not normal.

"I waited a few weeks, got his routine down, dressed in black with a ski mask, made it look like a robbery, shot him, not fatally, and said, 'Who is

normal know, huh? You got a bullet in you. Here are a few more.' I shot him some more, and I killed him, and said, 'Now who is normal? You are dead, so are you normal? Well, I am alive, and normal, and you are dead, and not normal.' Then I walked away, making sure there were no witnesses and no evidence left behind. I used a silencer with a caliber converter, and got away scot free.

"The next time I murdered a Psychiatrist, I made the appointment under an assumed name. I smuggled a tarp in earlier, and hid it in the bathroom. When the Psychiatrist started going into his 'not normal' routine, I murdered him right away, put the tarp on the floor, carried him out the door over my shoulder, and took him down to the shore, where a nice current carried his body gently out to sea. It was a beautiful moonlit service. I have some nice photos as mementos.

"So far, I have murdered many, many Psychiatrists. I shot some, stabbed some, hung a few, strangled a number, poisoned quite a few, drowned many, ran over a lot, had some thrown from a height, others asphyxiated, and basically, committed every manner of murder known. Anytime a body shows up, the first question the Police ask, 'Is it a Psychiatrist?', and if the answer is yes, Law Enforcement say, 'That's just Igor killing a Psychiatrist. Don't worry about it. First off, he is doing everyone a favor getting rid of some of the people in the field of Psychiatry who are out to do harm, and have no intention of helping their patients. Second, he leaves no evidence. But there have been some instances where a mime was a witness to a murder committed by Igor, but the mime couldn't testify.'"

"Good for you, Igor. A lot less bad ones in the field of Medicine. This calls for a celebration. Drinks are on

Sigmund Freud. I am going to see if I can get you nominated for Interpol's highest public service award."

"Oh, thanks, Doc. You know, Doc, I am really ticked off at this alleged profession. If a lot of the damage that is done to people is caused by screwballs and nut jobs, and if this group says that 'We'll fix it', then they don't, perhaps we should add some incentives to encourage a major initiative in finding cures for these diseases."

"What would you suggest?"

"How about giving the Psychiatrists and other mental health care people a certain amount of time to get the problem straightened out. After that period, then repercussions will begin. My associates and I will add incentives that will not be appreciated. We call it Psychiatrist cleansing. I was thinking about giving the Executive Board of the American Psychiatric Association free medical care."

"What would that be, Igor?"

"A complete and total sex change operation, from head to toe, inside and out, and make the people on the Board into a very attractive member of the opposite sex, and also giving them an insatiable sex drive, plus an inability to have an orgasm. That might encourage some improvements in the field."

"Just for the people who actually cause the damage, Igor"?

"Not just to those people, but also to the braggarts who claim to have the answers, and show no results. The Berggasse Street Irregulars will do a very good job on the mountebanks."

"Methods have to be found to keep the bad eggs out of the Psychiatry and Psychology field. There have been a number of case histories, starting with Dr. Checkley, and his "The Mask of Sanity", where he talks

about a Psychopath in Psychiatry. There was also some data from "Bad men do what good men dream", by Dr. Robert Simon, and Dr. Martha Stout's work, "The Sociopath Next Door". All dealt with examples of a member of the Psychiatric or Psychological field who was a Psychopath or Sociopath. Either keep the bad ones out of the field of Psychiatry, or the entire field will suffer the consequences. In one book, it was stated that the Psychopath went into Psychiatry because there were no witnesses to what he/she was doing to the patients, other than the patient. Then if the Psychiatrist ever does get caught, and convicted, usually part of the penalty is to forfeit their medical license. But the medical license is only forfeited in the state or other jurisdiction where the crime was committed. It is a simple matter to move to another state or country, and set up shop all over again. I heard that the medical field does not keep track of the criminals in their midst, and law enforcement and the Justice Department is not informed of criminal activity by members of the medical field. Until there is a nationwide and worldwide information sharing system about the doings of these criminals in the Psychiatric and Psychological field, the patients will be at the mercy of some of the worst mental illnesses known. Everyone will suffer, the patients, the public, and the people who are in the field.

"Before anyone goes to see anyone in the mental health field, the patient should go online and get in touch with the American Medical Association, and go to their ethical code. Then go to either the American Psychological Association or the American Psychiatric Association's code of ethics. Simply take a look and see what it says. Better yet, make a copy of it, and bring it with you on your first appointment with people

who are in this field. Also, take a look at the medical person's online profile, and see what turns up, and see if there is anything from the Better Business Bureau that has information on the Medical provider and facility.

"I was thinking if any of these clowns infers that the patient is not normal, that should be enough to warn the patient not to trust the medical person. The patient must take further action. First, the patient should document as much of the incident as possible, dates, times, name of the facility, name of the alleged Psychiatrist, what was actually said by the Psychiatrist, and directed towards the patient, and file a complaint at the facility where the incident occurred. Second, the patient should consider contacting a medical mal practice attorney. Actually, you might want to contact a medical mal practice lawyer before you saw a Psychiatrist. Third, the victim should get in touch with the American Psychiatric Association, and their state Medical Board, and file a formal grievance. Fourth, the poor, underprivileged, exploited patient should file a formal complaint with the state medical board. Fifth, the sweet, innocent, abused, corrupted patient should file a formal complaint with the Attorney General's Office, and finally, also with the Better Business Bureau. In the beginning, this won't have too much of an effect. You will be going up against the Medical Field, after all. But, if there are enough complaints, and if some of the patients are in the VIP group, or Journalists and other whistle blowers, then possibly, something might actually get done."

"Good for you, Igor."

"Also, the conned, manipulated, destroyed patient can put up a video on the internet, and finger the

Psychiatrist. That will make my job of finding the garbage in the field a lot easier. And Ygor is going to do the same thing in higher education, amongst the faculty, administration and staff."

"Not Ygor. That's inhuman." the Doctor said.

"It's a dirty job, Doc, but somebody has to do it. At least you know Ygor will take care of it."

"Yes, but at what cost? How many College Presidents and members of the Faculty will disappear, and the bodies never found?"

"That's impossible to know, Doc, but a few here and there, who cares? In addition, he will be doing behavior modification, and attempt to make iNtuitives into Sensors, based on MBTI information. He believes that will clean up a lot of the Faculty and Administration issues."

Chapter 5. Part 10

Dr. Frankenstein's video game "Narcissistic Personality Disorder" in which Dr. Frankenstein does a diagnosis, analysis and attempts to find a cure for Narcissistic Personality Disorder at the Dr. Frankenstein Medical University.

To begin, take the Oath of Hippocrates, unless you are a Narcissistic Personality that should not be a problem. If you are a NT, an iNtuitive Thinker, from the MBTI, it will also be a problem. Most other people will be able to do it. Then, after reading the small amount of info available on Narcissistic Personality Disorder, having learned all there is to be learned, you will attempt to make a diagnosis. Dr. Frankenstein encourages the players to go with technology for the diagnosis. SPECT scans, MRI, fMRI, Pet, and CT scans to get accurate information. Once that is done, do an analysis of the condition. Again, the skinny is to use technology. Finally, an attempt at finding a cure will be made. That might not be possible with the limited amount of knowledge that is presently available.

Dr. Frankenstein wishes all of the players well in their endeavor.

For those of you who are so inclined, a drawing of a STOP sign with the caption, "STOP and cure Narcissistic Personality Disorder" could go here.

Chapter 5. Part 11

Ygor's video game titled "Paranoid Personality Disorder".

In this video game, Ygor, former Lab Assistant and grave robber, is promoted to Research Doctor for Paranoid Personality Disorder at the Dr. Frankenstein Medical University. It is his responsibility to diagnose, analyze and find a cure for Paranoia. Ygor has formed the Association for finding a Cure for Paranoia, ACP for short. He has gotten the Vulcan Medical Institute to help with the research.

To begin, take the Hippocratic Oath, then read the very little Medical literature on the subject of Paranoia. Perhaps if it is classified an orphan disease it might be looked into. Don't worry that in the book "Poisonous Power", the author, June Stephenson, PhD., diagnosed all of the 20th century dictators as Paranoids. I mean, the Dictators didn't do any damage, did they?

Then, see if there are any fMRI, CT scans, PET scans, SPECT scans and EEG's studies on people diagnosed as Paranoid. See what part of the brain is affected. Concentrate on those areas, and try to find methods to fix their brain.

Again, a STOP sign with a caption, "STOP and cure Paranoia" could go here.

Chapter 5. Part 12

Igor's video game "Psychopath analysis, diagnosis, and cure".

In this video game, Igor, promoted from Lab Assistant to Research Medical Doctor, using the facilities of Dr. Frankenstein Medical University in Hesse, analyzes, makes diagnosis and finds cures for Psychopaths. He forms the Society for the Abolition of Psychopaths, and enlists research scientists from all over the Milky Way Galaxy and the World, to achieve that goal.

Again, the game begins with the administration of the Hippocratic Oath. It is found that the amygdala and the Hippocampus of Psychopaths are atrophied. These are the emotional centers of the brain. Since those are atrophied in Psychopaths, the idea of emotions is definitely not the same as for other people. When Dr. Robert Hare and his team sent EEG's of Psychopaths to a scientific journal, the paper was sent back, with a note from the Editor of the Journal, saying that no human being could have made EEG's like the ones in the paper.

Igor has developed a rigorous set of physical exercises, named after Teddy Roosevelt. 50 pushups, to begin with, then a 15-kilometer run, followed by a 5-mile swim, then a 10-kilometer bike race, then more pushups, first by the amygdala, then by the Hippocampus.

However, if this fails, Igor will try to remove the atrophied amygdala and hippocampus, and replace those with the same organs from cats and dogs.

Igor began studying brain surgery a long time ago. His autobiography is "40 years in Medical School".

Also, psychopaths have reduced connectivity between the area of the prefrontal cortex, and the amygdala. The white matter pathway connecting the two structures, the uncinate fasciculus, is not working right.

In addition, Psychopaths are known for glibness—readily fluent, often thoughtlessly, superficially or insincerely so. Also, a search on the net for “Grandiose sense of self-worth” reveals links to articles on Narcissistic Personality disorder. Finally, Psychopaths have a need for stimulation, because of a proneness to boredom.

Chapter 5. Part 13

The Lab Assistants Video game for Anti-Social Personality Disorders

In this video game, the object is to find, diagnose, and develop cures for the Diseases known as Anti-Social Personality disorders. The two main ones are Psychopaths and Sociopaths.

First, find some Psychopaths and Sociopaths, then make sure of the diagnosis. Following that, do the usual tests on the subjects, SPECT scans, PET Scans, FMRI, MRI's, EEG's and anything else you can find. Look through the available literature to see if you can anything that will help with a cure.

Once it is determined that you have a Psychopath or Sociopath, if nothing else can be done, the transplant of the amygdala and the hippocampus should be attempted.

Yet another STOP sign can be put here with a caption like, "STOP and cure Anti-Social Personality Disorders", or, "STOP and cure Psychopaths and Sociopaths".

Chapter 5. Part 14

Igor and Ygor are doing a joint project on Learning Disabilities. The rules of the game are the same as the other games. Everything must be done in a sound medical and scientific manner. Ygor and Igor wish all well in the contest.

And now another STOP sign, with a caption, "STOP and eliminate Learning Disabilities".

In addition, Birth Defects will also be part of the program.

So, another STOP sign, "STOP and eliminate Birth Defects", can go here.

I know that everyone will do their best to assist Igor and Ygor in their endeavor.

Chapter 5. Part 15

Igor's interview for the Hesse Zeitung with Dr. Albert Einstein

"Dr. Einstein, nice to meet you. I hope you have a few minutes so I can interview you."

"Ya, ya, of course."

"Doctor, you won a Nobel Prize in 1921 in Physics. Is that correct?"

"Ya, ya, correct."

"You wrote over 300 scientific papers, and made numerous breakthroughs."

"Ya, ya."

"You also developed the theory for the Atomic Bomb, and endorsed a letter to President Roosevelt about developing a new weapon of great power."

"Ya, ya, but I am against using Atoms for war."

"Are atoms for Peace all right?"

"Ya, ya, for peaceful purposes."

"Well, as you know, the atomic waste has a half-life, some of it, of 250,000 years. What should we do to get rid of the trash?"

"The trash? The trash? I developed a formula that made an atom bomb, and it vorked, it vorked, it actually vorked, it was a mira, it was a major scientific achievement, and it von the var, and vhat if the other side had gotten it? Vhat then, huh? Huh? Tell me what vould have happened then? Vould you be happy then? Huh? Vould You? And talk about trash, dumkopf, dumkopf, Kaput, trash, what about the trash?" He muttered to himself as he walked away.

"Well, did he have a solution? What did he say?"

"No idea at all, back to the drawing board."

“Well, at least you took a chance, it didn’t work but you took a shot at it.”

Chapter 5. Part 16

It was a dark and stormy night in the field of Psychology when a number of its practitioners were born, including Sigmund Freud. His work did not do a thing to stop the Nazi's. It appears that he did not make up a psychological profile of Hitler or other Nazi's. His failure resulted in him having to leave his own country, and he was one of the lucky ones. Also, it appears that Freud did not develop any means of testing his theories and beliefs. How can this be of use in the field of Psychology? How can you prove or disprove something if there is no way to test for or against it? This is not the scientific method.

One time, Dr. Freud was going through Customs. "Do you have your ID?" the Custom official said. "Everyone has an Id", Dr. Freud replied. "What did you say?" said Customs. "I said everyone has an Id." "Look, it might be the Id where you come from, but over here, it is the ID. Now let me see your ID." "You cannot see the Id", the Doctor replied. "Why do I always get them? Why do they always come to me? What did I ever do to deserve this? Why? Why? Why? Show some ID, or else I'll have you detained." The Supervisor notices the commotion, and comes over, asks what's going on, appraises the situation, and tells the Custom Official it is all right, he is a noted Doctor. "What field is he in?" "He studies the human mind attempting to understand it." "No way", said the Customs Official.

It would seem to me that a top priority for Sigmund Freud and his followers in Psychology would be to find out what was causing Nazism and the other Dictatorships. These people should have been

subjected to the fullest and best analysis that was available at that time. If the disease that these Dictators had could not be determined, at least some other diseases could be eliminated. That is what the science is supposed to do, through a process of trial and error, eliminate what it can't be, leaving what it can be. Just like Sherlock Holmes.

A common phrase used by Psychiatrists for some of their patients is "the worried well." In other words, the Psychiatrist knows that there is basically nothing wrong with the Patient, but wants someone to listen to their problems, what is bothering that person. The patient is going on the concept that the Psychiatrist will actually try to help the patient, which may or may not be true.

But what if the Psychiatrist is a predator, or has a major personality disorder, or even an outright mental disease? If the patient is wealthy, the predator will bleed the patient dry. If the patient is sexually attractive to the Psychiatrist, he or she will advocate sex therapy, and will go above and beyond the call of duty by offering their own services. "The things I have to do for my patients," he or she will probably think to themselves. If the patient is neither rich nor attractive, then the patient will be useless to a predatory Psychiatrist, and will just be used and manipulated, for the added entertainment and enjoyment of the predatory Psychiatrist, and will be subjected to the whims and caprices of his personality.

Another thing about some members of this Profession is that they are real criticizers. Usually, the Psychiatrist will manipulate the conversation around to the unfortunate patient, and will say something like, "Normal people don't have _____", fill in the blank with what the patient complained about.

Anything the patient says is bothering themselves, the Psychiatrist will say it is not normal. To me, this is a classic example of Projection, and of the mental disease called Paranoia.

I happen to know that if the Psychiatrist believes that the patient he is seeing is not normal, is potentially dangerous, the Psychiatrist will take numerous precautions for their own safety. For example, the Psychiatrist will make sure that he is the person in the room closest to the door, to the exit, so he can escape if necessary. He does not want his escape route cut off. If the situation is really in doubt, there will be a panic button for the use of the Psychiatrist, to bring security on the run. This is usually for larger facilities, and prisons.

Say the Psychiatrist starts criticizing the patient, under the alleged auspices of helping the patient. What should the patient be on the lookout for? The patient should ask themselves, "Is this for my benefit?" If it is not for the benefit of the patient, then who will benefit from it? Will the Psychiatric profession benefit from it? Will society benefit from it? Or, will it only be the Psychiatrist, the one who says it, that will benefit from it? If it is only the Psychiatrist, then the patient is in trouble. I would suggest leaving, and contacting a good lawyer immediately. People like that have to be removed from not just the field of Psychiatry, but the entire field of Medicine. "First, do no harm."

There have been times when a member of the Psychiatric or Psychological profession was found out, and convicted in a court of law. Usually what was done was the criminal was not allowed to ever practice Medicine in that state ever again, their license was forfeited. So, it was simple to move to another state

or country, with falsified credentials and testimonials. Other states may not verify the degrees and training that people say they have accomplished. The licensing boards have to be on top of this. These people are very dangerous. There have been instances of patients committing suicide because of the actions of an alleged Psychiatrist.

I am concerned with the worst mental diseases, not "the worried well".

So, in my view, the most dangerous ones should be given top priority by the medical field. The Paranoids, psychopaths, sociopaths and the personality disorders. Sort of the "Ten most wanted by the Medical Association". This could be five to ten per cent of the population. Out of a population of 7 billion, it could be as high as 700 million. Also, this field has a lot of problems with semantics. Semantics is simply the study of words and their meaning. Because the field of Psychology has for too long been abstract, rather than specific, it has been very difficult to reach a general consensus on the exact meaning of different terms in the field.

The research should concentrate on finding a cure first, then the causes and how to prevent it. Dr. David Swanson felt that Hitler, Stalin and Mussolini had Paranoia to various degrees. I think that one reason why there is very little work done on this is because it is difficult. Many mental health people don't want to do this. But even if it is very difficult, it has to be looked at. The amount of damage done by this disease is staggering.

There is an inability of not being able to classify this disease. That is another reason why I would really like to have neuroimaging done of this disease, to

determine the specific areas in the brain that is not working right.

Emil Kraepelin is considered to have done the most work of trying to classify Paranoia. To be fair, this is very difficult to do, without different tests available to get an accurate diagnosis.

According to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5) there are ten personality disorders. The main disorder known for being constant criticizers are the Paranoid Personality Disorder. That is listed in the "Cluster A Personality Disorders."

The Paranoid Personality Disorder is described as being unable to accept criticism, but are highly critical of others. Also, there is a definite lack of trust in others, plus wanting to be autonomous. The rate of prevalence is all over the map, from just over 2% to almost 4 ½ %.

Now I would like to look briefly at one of the MBTI 16 different types, the ENTJ's. This is how the type is described in Type Talk: "*Hearty, argumentative and robust* are three words that accurately describe ENTJ's...."

".... Indeed, when it comes to criticism of self or others, ENTJ's are usually in a class by themselves. Sharp-tongued, harsh, seemingly unforgiving, ENTJ's can be devastating to those they criticize-including themselves."

Regarding the female ENTJ's, "As a type, their arrogant, confrontational manner and need for control can appear to be quite "unwomanly" to others." from Type Talk, pages 276-278.

The use of type to explain the differences amongst people make sense. It is, after all, based on what

people say about themselves. There has been a lot of criticism concerning MBTI.

However, the authors of Type Talk, say this:

"These letters shouldn't be carved in stone-or even written in ink. You may want to verify your results by turning to Chapter 10 and reading your four-letter profile." Type Talk, page 25.

"Some people, for a variety of reasons, are very resistant to the idea of Type watching-and to psychology in general. Among those are some Introverts, who value privacy. Some Sensors, because it does not give immediate usefulness. Some Thinkers, because of not being able to prove reliability. Also, some Feelers, with concerns about feelings and individualism. And some Perceivers, who want more types.

Another criticism was that there were no scientific ways to measure MBTI. Sigmund Freud did not develop tests for his ideas either.

Now we have a few better tools to look into the workings of the brain and get a picture of what is going on. The tools are the MRI, fMRI, PET, CT, and others. What I would really like to see developed is a machine that will give a complete picture of the human body very rapidly and accurately, and do no damage to the patient. Possibly, one can be developed specifically to study mental disorders and abnormalities.

A device like the tricorder from Star Trek, The Original Series, would be an excellent achievement. To be able to get a reading on vital signs, any broken bones, internal bleeding, organ damage or failure, rapidly and 100% accurate, would be beyond measure in value. The Qualcomm X Prizes had a contest for a

device like this, and three prizes were awarded, but we still have a way to go.

Unlike Superman, we do not have x-ray vision, so we have to develop machines to enable us to see what can't be seen by our sense of vision.

I heard once about a person who went to a Psychiatrist, and the patient was told, "Normal people don't have what you have_____." Fill in the blank. So, the patient murdered the psychiatrist.

He was arrested, and the Judge asked him what his plea was, guilty or not guilty.

"Not guilty, your Honor", he replied.

"Why are you not guilty?" the Judge asked.

"I am not normal." he replied.

"How do you know you are not normal?"

"He told me."

"Who told you?"

"The Psychiatrist."

"What Psychiatrist?"

"The Psychiatrist that I murdered."

"Let me get this straight," the Judge said. "You are not guilty of murder because you are not normal, and you know you are not normal because the Psychiatrist that you murdered is the one who told you that you are not normal."

"That's right, you're Honor."

"Well, I guess he was right then. Not guilty, case dismissed, enjoy your day."

Should we have an open season for Psychiatrists? Or should we weed out the bad ones, and take steps to keep them out?

For the practitioners of the noble law profession, this may give you a whole new market. I think that it would be a good idea to find out how much mal practice insurance the average Psychiatrist, or others

in related fields carry. You can send me a thank you note later.

This profession is going to see people at their most vulnerable, when the patient is at the end of their rope. And then it is possible to run into a predatory Psychiatrist. If someone actually believes this criminal, the patient will be worse off. There have been a number of examples of some members of the Psychology field who have been illegitimate, and not working in the best interests of the patient. I have run into a few who were not legitimate members of this profession. The first Psychology class I took, the alleged Professor, in my opinion, had a personality disorder. It was a freshman course in General Psychology, so the students were around 17 to 19 years old. It was probably the first course in Psychology that most of us had taken. Every other week or so, he would tell the entire class of 25 or so, "Where you deviate from the norm," with no facts to justify his words. Here is one person telling over two dozen people that no one in the entire class was normal. It was one of his favorite expressions, and it made no sense. Another expression of his was "How you handle your frustrations." Many years later, I began to realize that he was projecting on us what was inside of him.

Amongst other things, he was doing Psychological experiments on the class, without permission or consent, which is highly illegal and unethical. These usually consisted of personality tests that we had to take. I went into the final exam with a B average, and I came out with an F. I reported him to the Administration, who did nothing. Can college professors be sued for malpractice?

After that, I promised myself three things. First, I would repeat the class to get rid of the F. Second, I would never take another class in Psychology again, and that was the last class in Psychology that I ever took. Finally, I would spend the rest of my life trying to figure out what was wrong with that goddamned Psychology teacher. I do read a lot of books in the field, but I will never take another course in it. I wanted to find out what was wrong with that alleged Psychology Professor. Years later, I now believe that he was possibly a Paranoid, and many years later, I concluded that he probably did not have the degrees he claimed. So, anytime a Psychologist or a Psychiatrist talks about 'Normal people' and it is directed at you, do not believe it. I do not like the people in this field. Here are some of the books I have read in the field of Psychology.

"The Mask of Sanity" by Dr. Cleckley.

"Without Conscious" by Dr. Robert Hare.

"The Paranoid" by Dr. Swanson, et al.

"Paranoia revisited", by Dr. Alistair Monroe

"Type Talk" by Otto Kroeger and Janet M. Thuesen

"Type Talk at Work" by Otto Kroeger, Janet M Thuesen and Hile Rutledge

"Gift's Differing" by Isabel Myers Briggs and Peter B. Myers

"The Psychopath Whisperer" by Dr. Kent Kiehl

"Anatomy of Violence" by Dr. Adriane Raine

"Inside the Criminal Mind" by Stanton E. Samenow, Ph.D.

"The Paranoia Switch" by Martha Stout Ph.D.

"The Sociopath Next Door", by Martha Stout Ph.D.

"Poisonous Power: Childhood Roots of Tyranny", by June Stephenson, Ph.D.

"Please understand me", by David Keirse

"Making Us Crazy: DSM: The Psychiatric Bible and the Creation of Mental Disorders", by Herb Kutchins and Stuart A. Kirk

"Madness and Civilization", by Michel Foucault.

"The Psychological Evaluation of Political Leaders," by Dr. Jerrold Post

"Political Paranoia: The Psycho-politics of Hatred," by Dr. Jerrold Post

"Change your brain, Change your life" by Dr. Daniel Amen


"Healing the Hardware of the Soul", Dr. Amen.

"Dangerous Personalities", by Joe Navarro.

About 6 biographies of Adolf Hitler, among them, "Hitler" by Joachim Fest, I was told this was the best biography of him, "Three Portraits" by Emil Ludwig, "The Psychopathic God: Adolf Hitler", by Robert G.L. Waite.

A lot of the problems in this field are in semantics. German is a very difficult language to translate into other languages and get the original meaning. I don't think this was done intentionally. Originally, I doubt if most of the German writers even took translations into account. The writers were writing primarily for other Germans, not other countries.

The use of the word "psych" to me is very confusing. The translation from German to English was a disaster. I do not know about other languages. Also, the field came out of the Philosophy Department around 1870. It did not come from Medical field. Neuroscience deals with the brain, while Psychology deals with the mind. Go figure. Is that supposed to be two different things?



I believe that we are in a Renaissance. I believe that it is basically a transitional period between ages. I am using examples from the previous Renaissance to prove my point. I will also discuss Watergate, and Majority and Minority opinion. Finally, I will attempt to follow Keynes's mathematical analysis, and look 200 years into the future.

The Second Renaissance From Capitalism to Socialism

By James Munroe and A. Monro

Order the complete book from the publisher
[Booklocker.com](https://www.booklocker.com)

<https://www.booklocker.com/p/books/10723.html?s=pdf>

or from your favorite neighborhood
or online bookstore.